



MRC Technical Summary Report # 1708

ON A THEOREM OF VOLOŠIN CONCERNING ENUMERATION OF FUNCTION COMPOSITIONS

Bernard Harris



Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin-Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706

December 1976

Received December 13, 1976

PROBLET STATE

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sp Sp

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

ON A THEOREM OF VOLOŠIN CONCERNING ENUMERATION OF FUNCTION COMPOSITIONS

Bernard Harris

Technical Summary Report #1708 December 1976

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to present a direct and simpler combinatorial proof of a theorem of Yu. M. Vološin [4] on the enumeration of function compositions and to exhibit some of the consequences of this theorem. Many consequences are stated in the paper of Vološin, however, his methods are relatively intractable. Here, we obtain a generating function which facilitates enumeration. The methods and arguments employed here should be compared with Vološin. The combinatorial structure encountered here is a fairly general one with many applications, only a few of which are provided in the present paper.

RTIS

UNANNOUNCED

DESTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY

AVAIL and/or :

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 05Al5

Key Words: Enumeration of Function Composition

Work Unit No. 4 (Probability, Statistics, and Combinatorics)

ON A THEOREM OF VOLOŠIN CONCERNING ENUMERATION OF FUNCTION COMPOSITIONS

Bernard Harris

1. Introduction and Summary. The purpose of this paper is to present a direct and simpler combinatorial proof of a theorem of Yu. M. Vološin [4] on the enumeration of function compositions and to exhibit some of the consequences of this theorem. Many consequences are stated in the paper of Vološin, however, his methods are relatively intractable. Here, we obtain a generating function which facilitates enumeration. The methods and arguments employed here should be compared with Vološin. The combinatorial structure encountered here is a fairly general one with many applications, only a few of which are provided in the present paper.

Let c_0 be the number of symbols denoting variables and let c_j be the number of symbols denoting functions of j variables, $j=1,2,\ldots,n$. Let k_0 be the number of entries of variable symbols and for each j, $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ let k_j be the number of entries of symbols denoting functions of j variables. Then Volosin established the following theorem. Theorem 1. For given $c_j \geq 0$, $k_j \geq 0$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots,n$, the number of valid compositions that can be made with arbitrary insertions of commas and parentheses is

(1)
$$R(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n; c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) = \frac{(k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_n - 1)!}{k_0! k_1! \dots k_n!} c_0^{k_0} c_1^{k_1} \dots c_n^{k_n},$$

provided that

(2)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (j-1)k_{j} = k_{0}-1.$$

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-75-C-0024.

The relation (2) is both necessary and sufficient for k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_n to permit a valid composition. Also note that $c_j = 0$ implies $k_j = 0$, since if $k_j > 0$, then (1) yields zero, which is appropriate.

The following example should aid the reader by clarifying the definitions and notation. Let x and y denote variables, let f be a symbol for a function of one variable and let g denote a function of two variables. Then we have $c_0 = 2$, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$. Let $k_0 = 2$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 1$. Then the 12 solutions given by (1) are

For example $g \times f y$ is a composition, since we can write g(x, f(y)). However $x \cdot g \cdot f y$ is not a valid composition. It is easily seen that there is no way of inserting commas and parentheses so that this is a valid composition.

In [4], Volosin actually stated Theorem one in an equivalent, but substantially more complicated form. The differences are that he defined k_i , $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ as the number of entries of functions of m_i variables. The number of symbols c_i was correspondingly defined and he required $c_i > 0$, $i=0,1,\ldots,n$. As will be seen in the sequel, letting $m_i = i$ and permitting $c_i = 0$ substantially simplifies all computations.

In section two, Theorem one is established. In section three, generating functions are derived, which are then used to exhibit other results of Volosin. In particular, the treatment given here provides simple explicit expressions for many special cases.

2. Proof of Volosin's Theorem. We first calculate the totality of possible arrangements and selections of the $N=k_0+k_1+\ldots+k_n$ symbols without regard to whether they constitute a valid composition. Then we subsequently determine the fraction of these which are valid compositions.

There are clearly $N!/(k_0!k_1!...k_n!)$ ways to select the k_j positions to be occupied by the symbols selected from the c_j symbols, $j=1,2,\ldots,n$. After this allocation of positions, there are c_j possible selections for each position and hence c_j selections for each j. Thus the totality of arrangements and selections without regard to their validity as a function composition is

(3)
$$M(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n; c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n) = \frac{(k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_n)!}{k_0 ! k_1 ! \dots k_n !} c_0^{k_0} c_1^{k_1} \dots c_n^{k_n}.$$

Now consider a sequence of N symbols selected from the M possibilities available, say $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$. We characterize those sequences, which, by insertion of commas and parentheses, are valid compositions. Define $g(\alpha_r) = -(j-1)$ if α_r is a symbol denoting a function of j variables; j=0 means that α_j is a symbol denoting a variable. Accordingly we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 1. α is a valid function composition if and only if

(4)
$$h(m) = \sum_{r=m}^{N} g(\alpha_r) > 0 , \quad m = 1, 2, ..., N$$

and

(5)
$$h(1) = 1$$
.

<u>Proof.</u> <u>Necessity.</u> Assume that $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a valid function composition. If N = 1, α_1 must be a variable symbol. Hence $g(\alpha_1) = 1 = h(1)$.

Now assume that the conclusion holds for N = 1,2,...,k-1, k > 1. Consider therefore any valid function composition with N = k symbols. Then α_1 must be a symbol for a function of j variables for some j, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Hence, we must be able to decompose $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_k)$ into j sequences of consecutive elements, namely, $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_p)$, $(\alpha_{p_1}+1,\ldots,\alpha_{p_1}+p_2)$, \ldots , $(\alpha_{p_1}+p_2+\ldots+p_{j-1}+1,\ldots,\alpha_{p_1}+p_2+\ldots+p_{j-1}+1,\ldots,\alpha_{p_1}+p_2+\ldots+p_{j-1}+1,\ldots+$

(6)
$$\begin{cases} h_{1}(m) = \sum_{r=m}^{p_{1}} g(\alpha_{r}) > 0, & m = 2, 3, ..., p_{1}, \\ p_{1} + p_{2} \\ h_{2}(m) = \sum_{r=p_{1} + m} g(\alpha_{r}) > 0, & m = 1, 2, ..., p_{2} \\ \vdots \\ p_{1} + ... + p_{j} \\ h_{j}(m) = \sum_{r=p_{1} + ... + p_{j-1} + m} g(\alpha_{r}) > 0, & m = 1, 2, ..., p_{j} \end{cases}$$

and

(7)
$$h_1(1) = h_2(1) = \dots = h_i(1) = 1$$
.

Consequently

$$h(m) = \sum_{r=m}^{k} g(\alpha_r) > 0$$
, $m = 2, ..., k$

and

$$h(1) = -(j-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{j} h_i(1) = -(j-1) + j = 1$$
,

verifying (4) and (5).

Sufficiency. Assume that the sequence $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_N)$ satisfies (4) and (5). Then h(N)=1 and α_N must be a variable symbol. Each symbol for a function of j variables may be regarded as replacing j variables occurring to the right of the symbol by one variable, thus reducing the number of variables to the right by $(j-1)=-g(\alpha_r)$. Hence, for each m, $m=1,2,\ldots,N$, h(m) is the number of variables available at m. Hence, if (4) holds, then for each m, $1 \leq m \leq N$, there are variables available at m. If (5) holds as well, then the sequence $\widetilde{\alpha}$ may be regarded as a single variable and is therefore a valid composition.

A simple rule for insertion of commas and parentheses can easily be given.

We now obtain Volosin's condition (2).

Lemma 2. h(1) = 1 implies Volosin's condition holds. Further for any sequence $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfying Volosin's condition, there is exactly one cyclic permutation which is a valid function composition.

Proof.
$$h(1) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} g(\alpha_r) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} (j-1)k_j + k_0 = 1,$$
 which is Volosin's condition.

For the converse, we can apply a well-known theorem of L. Takács [3]; if r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N are non-negative integers with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^N r_i = r \leq N$, then among the N cyclic permutations, there are exactly N-r such that the partial sums $\sum\limits_{i=1}^m r_i$, are less than m, m = 1,2,...,N.

To apply Takács' theorem, let $r_i = 1 - g(\alpha_i)$, then since $-(n-1) \le g(\alpha_i) \le 1$, we have $0 \le r_i \le n$. Also $\sum_{i=1}^{N} g(\alpha_i) = 1$ implies

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i &= N - \sum_{i=1}^{N} g(\alpha_i) = N - 1. & \text{Finally, } \sum_{i=m}^{N} g(\alpha_i) = (N - m + 1) - \sum_{i=m}^{n} r_i > 0 \text{ is} \\ & \text{equivalent to } \sum_{i=m}^{N} r_i < N - m + 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N. & \text{Thus (4) and (5) are precisely Takács' conditions with } r = N - 1. \end{split}$$

Combining Lemmas one and two, we have established the theorem, since among the $\mathbf{M}(k_0,k_1,\ldots,k_n;c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_n)$ arrangements and selections, exactly $\mathbf{R}(k_0,k_1,\ldots,k_n;c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_n)=\mathbf{N}^{-1}\mathbf{M}(k_0,k_1,\ldots,k_n;c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_n)=(\sum\limits_{j=0}^n k_j)^{-1}\mathbf{M}(k_0,k_1,\ldots,k_n;c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_n)$ of them constitute a valid composition.

Remarks. In [4], Volosin established the theorem by first obtaining a complicated recursion for R, the number of compositions. This recursion was employed to deduce a functional equation for the generating function

(8)
$$H_{R}(t_{0}, t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) = \sum_{k_{0}=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_{n}=0}^{\infty} R(k_{0}, k_{1}, \dots, k_{n}; c_{0}, c_{1}, \dots, c_{n}) t_{0}^{k_{0}} t_{1}^{k_{1}} \dots t_{n}^{k_{n}}.$$

Lagrange's formula was employed to expand H_R obtaining the desired result. In the opinion of the present author, the proof given here provides more insight into the relevant combinatorial mechanism and clarifies the combinatorial significance of the Volosin condition (2).

R. L. Graham has pointed out to the author that he had previously seen results of the type of Lemma one. The author has investigated this comment and notes that a result very similar to Lemma one may be found in P. C. Rosenbloom [2], pp. 152-157.

 Generating functions for function compositions and related quantities. In his paper, Volosin [4] discussed properties of the generating function (8), but never gave an explicit form. In this section, we show that by making a different choice of generating function, a useful explicit representation can be obtained. This facilitates computation and enables us to obtain other results from Volosin's paper as well as many additional results. Therefore, we define

(9)
$$F_{\widetilde{c}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; u; t) = e^{t \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j x_j u^j}$$

where $\tilde{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n)$. Then we obtain Theorem 2. Theorem 2. N^{-1} times the coefficient of $\frac{t^N}{N!} u^{N-1} x_0^k x_1^k \dots x_n^n$ is the number of valid function compositions that can be made with

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n; k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n)$$
 specified.

Proof. By direct computation, we have

(10)
$$F_{\widetilde{C}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; u; t) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^N}{N!} \sum_{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n} \frac{N!}{k_0! k_1! \dots k_n!} c_0^{k_0} c_1^{k_1} \dots c_n^{k_n} x_0^{k_0} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n} u^{\sum_{j=0}^{n} j k_j}.$$

Setting $k_1 + 2k_2 + nk_n = N-1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j - 1$, we have Volosin's condition (2) and the theorem is verified ...

With no loss of generality, we can remove the restriction imposed by the symbol n and consider

(11)
$$F_{\widetilde{C}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, u; t) = e^{\int_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j x_j u^j},$$

where $\tilde{c} = (c_0, c_1, ...)$. This reduces to (9) on setting $c_j = 0$ for all j > n.

Volosin has introduced a number of attributes of a function composition. They are: (k_0,k) , the characteristic of a composition, where k_0 is the number of entries of variable symbols and $k=k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_n$ is the number of entries of function symbols; k_0 the valency of a composition; k_0 , the complexity of a composition. $N=k_0+k$ is called the length of a composition.

We denote the number of compositions with specified characteristic, valency, complexity and length by A_{k_0} , $k_0^{(\widetilde{c})}$, $B_{k_0}^{(\widetilde{c})}$, $C_k^{(\widetilde{c})}$ and $N(\widetilde{c})$ respectively.

All of these can be readily enumerated using the generating functions (9) or (11), Specifically,

1.
$$A_{k_0, k}(\widetilde{c})$$
 is $(k_0+k)^{-1}$ times the coefficient of
$$\frac{{}^{k_0+k}}{(k_0+k)!} {}^{k_0+k-1} {}^{k_0} {}^{k} {}^{k} {}^{0} {}^{k} {$$

However, in many special cases, we can obtain simple expressions for quantities of interest.

The following observations are immediate.

 $B_{k_0}(\widetilde{c})$ will be infinite whenever $c_1>0$ and finite whenever $c_1=0$. $C_k(\widetilde{c})$ will be infinite unless there exists an n>0 such that $c_m=0$ for all m>n.

To observe the first, note that if f denotes a function of one variable, then for any $\widetilde{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N)$ which is a valid function composition, $(f,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N)$ is also a valid function composition. Clearly, this can be continued indefinitely. However, if $c_1=0$, there the maximal length of any possible valid composition is bounded. This follows immediately from (2). For the second, let f_m denote a symbol for a function of f_m variables. Then choose any subsequence $\{m_i\}$, with $f_m>0$ for all f_m and $f_m>\infty$. Then for every $f_m>0$ in the subsequence and any variable symbol $f_m>0$ in the subsequence and any variable symbol $f_m>0$ in the

$$f_{\mathbf{m}_{i_k}}(\ldots,f_{m_{i_2}}(\underbrace{x\ldots,x}_{m_{i_2}-1},f_{m_{i_1}}(\underbrace{x,\ldots,x}_{m_{i_1}})\ldots)$$

is a valid function composition with k function symbols, k > 1.

We now show how the generating function (II) may be employed to obtain various combinatorial results and to obtain explicit representations for various quantities which arise in the study of functional compositions.

Let
$$c_m = c_{m+1} = \dots = c_n = c$$
, $c_i = 0$, $1 \le i \le m-1$, $n+1 \le i$, $1 \le m \le n$, and $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x$. Then
$$t(c_0 x_0 + c \times \sum_{j=m}^n u^j)$$

$$F_{\widetilde{C}}(x_0, x, x, \dots; u; t) = e$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^N}{N!} (c_0 x_0 + c \times \sum_{j=m}^n u^j)^N .$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{c}}}(\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \dots; \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{t}) &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{t}^{N}}{N!} \left(\mathbf{c}_{0} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}^{m} (1 - \mathbf{u}^{n+1-m}) / (1 - \mathbf{u}) \right)^{N} \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{t}^{N}}{N!} \sum_{r=0}^{N/N} \binom{N}{r} \mathbf{c}_{0}^{N-r} \mathbf{x}_{0}^{N-r} \mathbf{c}^{r} \mathbf{x}^{r} \mathbf{u}^{rm} (1 - \mathbf{u})^{-r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^{j} \mathbf{u}^{(n+1-m)j}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{split} & \quad \quad F_{\widetilde{C}}(x_0,x,x,\ldots;u;t) = \\ & \quad \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^N}{N!} \bigg(c_0^N x_0^N + \sum_{r=1}^N \binom{N}{r} c_0^{N-r} x_0^{N-r} c_x^r x_u^{rm} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+k-1}{k} u^k \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j u^{(n+1-m)j} \bigg) \ . \end{split}$$

To apply Theorem 2, we need to calculate the coefficient of $\frac{t^N}{N!} u^{N-1}$.

Direct calculation shows that this is given by

$$\begin{cases} N = 0, & 0 \\ N = 1, & c_0 x_0 \\ N > 1, & \sum_{r=1}^{N} {N \choose r} c_0^{N-r} x_0^{N-r} c_r^r x_j^r \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j {N-2+r-rm-nj-j+mj \choose r-1} {r \choose j}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have established the following.

Theorem 3. $A_{N-r,r}(\widetilde{c})$, the number of valid compositions of length N with characteristic (N-r,r) when $c_m = c_{m+1} = \ldots = c_n = c$, $c_j = 0$, $1 \le j \le m-1$, $j \ge n+1$ and $1 \le m \le n$ is given by:

$$c_0$$
, when $N = 1$, $r = 0$.

For $1 \le r \le N-1$, N > 1

$$\frac{1}{N} \binom{N}{r} c_0^{N-r} c_j^r \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^{j} \binom{N-2+r-rm-nj-j+mj}{r-1} \binom{r}{j} ,$$
 when $r \leq \frac{N-1}{n+1} ,$
$$\frac{1}{N} \binom{N}{r} c_0^{N-r} c_j^r \sum_{j=0}^M (-1)^{j} \binom{N-2+r-rm-nj-j+mj}{r-1} \binom{r}{j} ,$$
 when $\frac{N-1}{n+1} < r \leq \frac{N-1}{m} ,$ otherwise,

where
$$M = \left[\frac{N-1-rm}{n+1-m} \right]$$
.

This theorem has many interesting consequences. For purpose of illustration, we cite some of these.

Corollary 1. The number of valid compositions of length N with characteristic (N-r,r) when m=1, $c_1=c_2=\ldots=c_n=c$ and $n\geq N-1$ is given by

$$(13) \qquad A_{N-r,\,r}(\widetilde{c}) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ r \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} N-2 \\ N-r-1 \end{array} \right) c_0^{N-r} \ c^r \ , \qquad N>1, \ 1 \leq r \leq N-1.$$

 Proof. Observe that $n \geq N-1$ implies $(N-1)/(n+1) \leq (N-1)/N < 1$ and $(N-1)/m = N-1$. Hence the upper limit of the summation in (12) is M . But in this case $M = \left[(N-1-rm)/(n+1-m) \right] \leq \left[(N-1-r)/(N-1) \right] = 0$. Thus $A_{N-r,\,r}(\widetilde{c})$ is given by (13).

Corollary 2. When $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_n = 1$, $n \ge N-1$, the number of valid compositions of length N is given by the Catalan numbers; that is

(14)
$$N(\tilde{c}) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2(N-1)}{N-1} \right) \qquad N = 1, 2, ...$$

<u>Proof.</u> For N = 1 the conclusion is trivial. Hence assume N > 1. Then, from Corollary 1, we have

$$N(\tilde{c}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} {N \choose r} {N-2 \choose r-1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=0}^{N-2} {N-2 \choose s} {N \choose s+1} = \frac{1}{N} {2N-2 \choose N-1},$$

the Catalan numbers. The last step employs a well-known combinatorial identity (H. W. Gould [1], formula 3.20).

An alternative method of obtaining this result is to exhibit a one-to-one correspondence between function compositions and a certain class of rooted trees with N vertices. These trees are referred to by Volosin [4] as functional trees. The endpoints of such trees correspond to symbols for variables and vertices of degree j refer to symbols for functions of j variables.

To provide an additional illustration of the applications of the generating function (II), we now establish the following theorem.

Theorem 4. When $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_n = 1$, the number of valid function compositions of length N is given by

(15)
$$N(\tilde{c}) = \sum_{j=0}^{P} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} {2N-2-(n+1)j \choose N-1}, \qquad 1 \le n \le N-1,$$

where P = [(N-1)/(n+1)].

<u>Proof.</u> From (ll), we have that the number of compositions of length n is given by N^{-1} times the coefficient of $\frac{t^N}{N!} u^{N-1}$ in

$$\frac{1}{N} e^{t(1+u+...+u^n)} = \frac{1}{N} e^{t(1-u^{n+1})(1-u)^{-1}}$$

Hence the coefficient of $\frac{t^N}{N!}$ is

$$\frac{1}{N}(1-u^{n+1})^{N}(1-u)^{-N}$$

Expanding this, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} u^{(n+1)j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} {N+m-1 \choose m} u^{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N} u^{r} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} {N+r-(n+1)j-1 \choose N-1}.$$

Thus, the coefficient of u^{N-1} is

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} {2N-2-(n+1)j \choose N-1}$$

and the conclusion follows readily.

Remark. For n = N-1, this is again just the Catalan numbers, as it should be.

For n = 1, this provides a proof of another well-known combinatorial identity (H. W. Gould [1], formula 3.16). Then the only valid function

composition of length N is of the form $\underbrace{f(f)\dots f(x)}_{N-1}$, where f is a function of a single variable. Obviously there is one such composition. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (-1)^{j} {N \choose j} {2N-2-2j \choose N-1} = N.$$

4. <u>Concluding Remarks.</u> The combinatorial structures given by functional composition are a very broad class of combinatorial structures and consequently there are many interesting specializations which can be obtained from these results. Some of these have been given in Theorem 3 and its corollaries and Theorem 4. In addition, these structures have many relations to other combinatorial problems. Some of these have already been alluded to by Volosin [4].

References

- H. W. Gould, Combinatorial Identities, West Virginia University,
 Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A., 1972.
- 2. P. C. Rosenbloom, <u>The Elements of Mathematical Logic</u>, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, U.S.A., 1950.
- L. Takács, Ballot problems, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw.
 Gebiete, 1, 1962, 154-158.
- Yu. M. Volosin, Enumeration of function compositions, J.
 Combinatorial Theory (A), 12, 1972, 202-216.

REP	ORT DOCUMENTATI		READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER		2. GOVT ACCESSION	S. RECITIENT'S CATALOG HUMBER
1708	· ·	7	1Technical
. TITLE (Mid Sublille)	7		TYPE OF REPORT - PERIOD COVERED
ON A THEORE	M OF VOLOŠIN CO	ONCERNING	Summary Report no specif
	OF FUNCTION C		reporting period
*	14 2		C. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
. AUTHOR(a)			CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)
-	7	(1	1+
Bernard/Harris		(/	DAAG29-75-C-8824
	INIZATION NAME AND ADD		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS
	esearch Center, U		
610 Walnut Stre		Wisconsin	
Madison, Wisc	FICE NAME AND ADDRESS		NIZ. REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Res		(/)	December 1976
P.O. Box 12211		(1)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Research Trian	gle Park, North C	arolina 27709	13
MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME & ADDRESS(II GA	florent from Controlling Office	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
4/MPC-T	15R-1748		UNCLASSIFIED
ypine i		7	150. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	TEMENT (of this Report)		
	public release; d	istribution unlimit	(2) 16pol
Approved for		istribution unlimit	
Approved for	ATEMENT (of the abatract on		
Approved for	ATEMENT (of the abatract on		
Approved for	ATEMENT (of the abatract on		
Approved for	ATEMENT (of the abatract on		
Approved for	ATEMENT (of the ebetract en		from Report)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY	NOTES	tered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY	ATEMENT (of the ebetract en	tered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY	NOTES	tered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Approved for The distribution strains and supplementary The supplementary The supplementary The supplementary	NOTES	tered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
Approved for The purpose of	NOTES NOTES On of Function Correct on reverse elde II necessarithis paper is to proceed the paper is to paper is	ary and identify by block numbers and identify by block numbers.	or) I simpler combinatorial proof of
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Contine Enumeration The purpose of a theorem of Yule exhibit some of the contine to	NOTES NOTES The an reverse elde II necessation of Function Contact this paper is to part in M. Volosin [4] of the consequence	ary and identify by block numbers and in the enumeration s of this theorem.	simpler combinatorial proof of of function compositions and to Many consequences are state
Approved for 17. DISTRIBUTION STA 18. SUPPLEMENTARY 19. KEY WORDS (Contine Enumeration C. ABSTRACT (Contine The purpose of a theorem of Yule exhibit some of the paper o	NOTES NOTES ON OF Function Cortains paper is to possess the consequence Volosin; however	mposition ry and identify by block numbers and identify by block	simpler combinatorial proof of of function compositions and to Many consequences are state relatively retractable. Here,
Approved for The purpose of a theorem of Yu exhibit some of the paper of obtain a general appearance of the purpose of the paper of obtain a general appearance of the paper of the paper of obtain a general appearance of the paper of the	NOTES NOTES On of Function Cortains paper is to put. M. Volosin 4 Coff the consequence Volosin; however ating function which	mposition The enumeration of this methods are characters enumerated to the characters of the characte	simpler combinatorial proof of of function compositions and to Many consequences are state relatively retractable. Here, we peration. The methods and arguments are stated to the contract of
Approved for The purpose of a theorem of Yu exhibit some of the paper of obtain a general ments employed.	NOTES NOTES NOTES ON OF Function Correction Function Correction M. Volosin [4] of the consequence Volosin; however ating function which dhere should be on the consequence of the consequence to the consequence volosin; however at the consequence to the consequence volosin; however at the consequence volosin; however at the consequence to the consequence volosin; however at the conseque	mposition The enumeration sof this theorem. The his methods are compared with Volo	simpler combinatorial proof of of function compositions and to Many consequences are state relatively retractable. Here,